

Message

**From:** Scarano, Louis [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=298E8A818EB6426BB5731A202AB1AC17-SCARANO, LOUIS]  
**Sent:** 2/11/2021 3:59:18 PM  
**To:** Pfahles-Hutchens, Andrea [Pfahles-Hutchens.Andrea@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: NAMS question

Andrea – thanks for responding/filling me in.

Lots going on...we should talk (maybe tomorrow if you are working?).

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

Regards,

Louis (Gino) Scarano, PhD  
US EPA  
Senior Advisor  
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention (OCSPP)  
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT)  
New Chemicals Division (NCD)  
1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW  
Washington, DC 20460  
Desk Phone: 202-564-2851  
Mobile: **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**  
Fax: 202-564-7450

---

**From:** Pfahles-Hutchens, Andrea <Pfahles-Hutchens.Andrea@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 11, 2021 9:35 AM  
**To:** Scarano, Louis <Scarano.Louis@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: NAMS question

Hi Gino,

I am so sorry that I didn't get back to you on this. I thought that I sent the note but just realized this morning that I never did!!! Please forgive me. Thank you for responding quickly and thoroughly.

It seems that the new AAship is looking at topic areas where we might need to review guidance that was developed/implemented during the last 4 years. NAMs was one of them and the skin sensitization was the one that came to my mind. We did the initial look for Andy, which is all that was needed for now. I expect that there will be follow up, though.

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** . Kathy and I are on detail as Sr Science Advisors to Andy until April **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

---

**From:** Scarano, Louis <Scarano.Louis@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 09, 2021 4:14 PM  
**To:** Pfahles-Hutchens, Andrea <Pfahles-Hutchens.Andrea@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: NAMS question

Andrea!

Good to hear from you....I am busier than ever....on my list before I sleep today is to look at the guidance doc issues circulated by Cathy F....

But to answer your question specifically, since the reorganization Todd S has been identified as the OPPT lead....we did do quite a few things the last few years and I would divvy them up quickly like this:

TSCA-Specific:

- Lautenberg required Strategic Plan by June of 2018 (here is the link - <https://www.epa.gov/assessing-and-managing-chemicals-under-tsca/alternative-test-methods-and-strategies-reduce> )
- That Strategic Plan set the course for different activities...the one that might be part of guidance is the skin sensitization policy with OPP - <https://archive.epa.gov/epa/newsreleases/epa-releases-draft-policy-reduce-animal-testing-skin-sensitization.html>

EPA-Wide where we are a major player:

- The September 2019 Wheeler memo set sights on a policy to reducing animal testing (and eventual elimination) - <https://www.epa.gov/research/epa-new-approach-methods-efforts-reduce-use-animals-chemical-testing> (NOTE: I believe the Wheeler memo was taken down, but the site shows document/strategy for going forward....
- Resulted in our agency wide workplan released in June of 2020 – see link above...

Hope this helps...hope you are well...am assuming you are back in ECRAD? Where did David go?

Hope to catch up with you some day.....

Regards,

Louis (Gino) Scarano, PhD  
US EPA  
Senior Advisor  
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention (OCSPP)  
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT)  
New Chemicals Division (NCD)  
1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW  
Washington, DC 20460  
Desk Phone: 202-564-2851  
Mobile: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)  
Fax: 202-564-7450

---

**From:** Pfahles-Hutchens, Andrea <[Pfahles-Hutchens.Andrea@epa.gov](mailto:Pfahles-Hutchens.Andrea@epa.gov)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, February 09, 2021 8:15 AM

**To:** Scarano, Louis <[Scarano.Louis@epa.gov](mailto:Scarano.Louis@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** NAMS question

**Importance:** High

Hi Gino,

I hope that you are doing well and keeping healthy. I miss seeing you!

I had a quick question about NAMs. We have been asked to determine what guidance that was issued under the last administration needs to have a second look and I noticed that many of

them are NAMs. Are you still working on it? And are there any guidances that immediately come to mind that I should flag? Andy needs this today so I don't have much time, so maybe a quick call would work, if you have any time? I'd appreciate any insight. Thanks.

Andrea